

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	1 ''	
	09/576,370	GRUBBS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication an	Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez	orrespondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 March 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-22 and 36-40</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,23 and 32</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,2,23 and 32</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-11 and 24-31 and 33-35</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/576,370 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicants' arguments that the Herrmann et al. reference is not enabling, that is, that the compound shown in the abstract was a result of a typographical error by the authors of the reference, have been noted. Further, applicants have offered to present evidence by way of a Declaration by one of the authors of the above mention reference. The Examiner agrees with Applicants' offer to submit evidence which demonstrates that the Herrmann et al. reference is not enabling. Thus, claims 1, 2, 23 and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Herrmann et al. until such evidence is place on the record. It is well settled that patent applicants bear the burden of introducing evidence proving that a reference lacks an enabling disclosure. In re Hoeksema, 399 F.2d 269, 158 U.S.P.Q. 596 (CCPA 1968); In re Jacobs, 318 F.2d 743, 137 U.S.P.Q. 888 (CCPA 1963; In re Fracalossi, 681 F.2d 792, 215 U.S.P.Q. 569 (CCPA 1982).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 12-22 and 36-40 are allowed.
- 3. Claims 3-11, 24-31 and 33-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez whose telephone number is 703-308-4632. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. (7:30 AM 6:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 09/576,370 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Primary Examiner

PNG May 21, 2002